

## **Clouds and trace gas distributions during TRACE-P**

J. Crawford,<sup>1</sup> J. Olson,<sup>1</sup> D. Davis,<sup>2</sup> G. Chen,<sup>2</sup> J. Barrick,<sup>1</sup> R. Shetter,<sup>3</sup> B. Lefer,<sup>3</sup> C. Jordan,<sup>1</sup>  
B. Anderson,<sup>1</sup> A. Clarke,<sup>4</sup> G. Sachse,<sup>1</sup> D. Blake,<sup>5</sup> H. Singh,<sup>6</sup> S. Sandolm,<sup>2</sup> D. Tan,<sup>2</sup> Y. Kondo,<sup>7</sup>  
M. Avery,<sup>1</sup> F. Flocke,<sup>3</sup> F. Eisele,<sup>3</sup> L. Mauldin,<sup>3</sup> M. Zondlo,<sup>3</sup> W. Brune,<sup>8</sup> H. Harder,<sup>8</sup> M. Martinez,<sup>8</sup>  
R. Talbot,<sup>9</sup> A. Bandy,<sup>10</sup> D. Thornton,<sup>10</sup> and S. Vay<sup>1</sup>

For submission to JGR Atmospheres, TRACE-P Special Issue  
5 November, 2002

<sup>1</sup>NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia.

<sup>2</sup>School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.

<sup>3</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry Division, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado.

<sup>4</sup>School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology, University of Hawaii, Honolulu.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine.

<sup>6</sup>NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California.

<sup>7</sup>University of Tokyo, Japan.

<sup>8</sup>Department of Meteorology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park

<sup>9</sup>Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham.

<sup>10</sup>Department of Chemistry, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

## Abstract

This paper addresses the question, “To what extent do trace gas distributions correspond to cloudiness?” Observations taken during NASA’s TRACE-P experiment indicate that there can be statistically significant differences in trace gas concentrations between clear-sky and cloudy areas. During the TRACE-P mission, frontal outflow of Asian emissions from the Pacific Rim to the western, North Pacific was sampled by NASA’s DC-8 and P-3B aircraft. On several occasions, enhanced CO mixing ratios were observed in and around frontal clouds. A more detailed analysis of trace gas distributions revealed CO enhancements of 30% in the lower free troposphere (1-5 km) for cloudy regions as compared to clear areas. These enhancements exist in clouds as well as above and below clouds. In the upper free troposphere (5-11 km), overall enhancement in CO of 15% was observed although enhancements are mainly restricted to observations within clouds. These in-cloud observations were enhanced by factors of 1.5 to 2 over clear air data. Similar enhancements were seen for many other anthropogenic tracers. By contrast, distributions for O<sub>3</sub> revealed no clear differences between cloudy and clear regions suggesting that other influences (e.g., stratosphere-troposphere exchange) might disrupt any relationship with local cloudiness. Expected cloud influences on oxidation chemistry were evident in enhanced OH concentrations above clouds and depressed OH below clouds. These findings are particularly relevant to current and future satellite investigations of the troposphere. Understanding the potential biases created by the inability to probe cloudy regions will improve the interpretation of regional and globally averaged satellite observations.

## 1       **Introduction**

2               NASA's airborne field program known as the Global Tropospheric Experiment (GTE) was  
3       conceived as a focused research program with the goal of improving our understanding of global  
4       trace gas distributions and key chemical cycles in the troposphere [McNeal *et al.*, 1983]. The core  
5       of the program was based on in situ sampling from aircraft supported by modeling and laboratory  
6       studies. Part of the vision behind these activities was the belief that they would provide the  
7       knowledge base critical to the planning, interpretation, and validation of future satellite based  
8       investigations of tropospheric chemistry. During NASA's TRACE-P (TRANsport and Chemical  
9       Evolution over the Pacific) campaign, GTE flight operations to support satellite validation were  
10      conducted for the first time [Jacob *et al.*, this issue]. Specifically, airborne sampling was planned  
11      to coincide in time and location with observations of CO by the MOPITT instrument. While these  
12      simultaneous observations constitute an important part of the link between aircraft and satellite  
13      observations, it is critical to examine the broader airborne data set for information that might be of  
14      interest to space-based observers. One useful piece of information relates to differences in trace gas  
15      observations for areas expected to be visible to these observing platforms versus those areas that will  
16      be obscured - specifically cloudy regions.

17             Examining trace gas distributions in relation to local cloudiness is also relevant to improving  
18      our understanding of trace gas transport and photochemical evolution which was the primary  
19      objective of TRACE-P. The radiative impact of clouds serves to enhance photochemical oxidation  
20      chemistry above clouds through the backscattering of UV radiation. Conversely, the attenuation of  
21      radiation below clouds can slow photochemical processing, thus lengthening the lifetime of  
22      photochemically sensitive species and thereby increasing the range over which they can be  
23      transported. Heterogeneous processes (e.g., uptake of soluble species) within clouds can also exert

1 an important influence on tropospheric composition.

2 Despite the temporal and spatial limitations of airborne data, in situ sampling from airborne  
3 platforms remains to be the most effective way to gain detailed information about atmospheric  
4 composition in and around clouds. Examples of airborne data demonstrating the role of clouds in  
5 redistributing trace gases can be found in the literature [e.g., *Dickerson et al.*, 1987; *Pickering et al.*,  
6 1996; *Huntreiser et al.*, 1998]. While these types of observations provide strong anecdotal evidence  
7 for a relationship between clouds and trace gas distributions, there have been no attempts to  
8 ascertain large scale trends in trace gases with cloudiness through the statistical evaluation of larger,  
9 regional data sets.

10 The TRACE-P mission was conducted during the spring of 2001 (Feb-Apr) over the western,  
11 North Pacific along the Asian Pacific Rim. Two aircraft, NASA's DC-8 and P-3B, collected  
12 observations of an extensive suite of trace gases, most of which were measured on both aircraft (see  
13 *Jacob et al.*, this issue for details). It is during this season that Asian outflow to the Pacific is  
14 maximized with frontal passages being a major influence on the outflow [*Yienger et al.*, 2000;  
15 *Kaneyasu et al.*, 2000; *Bey et al.*, 2001; *Liu et al.*, this issue]. During sampling of frontal outflow,  
16 regions of frontally induced cloudiness frequently exhibited elevated trace gas mixing ratios.

## 17 **2. Examples of trace gas enhancements in cloudy regions.**

18 On March 7, 2001 both aircraft profiled through a frontal cloud band. Figure 1 shows a  
19 satellite image of the frontal cloud band overlaid with the flight tracks of the DC-8 and P-3B. The  
20 location of profiles through the cloud band further highlighted. Measurements of CO and relative  
21 humidity during these profiles are shown in Figure 2. Both the DC-8 and P-3B profiles show a layer  
22 of elevated CO (>300 ppbv). Relative humidity values show saturated conditions for the elevated  
23 CO layers. In-flight video during these two profiles further corroborates that these enhancements

1 were measured within cloud.

2           Although sampled at distinctly different locations and altitudes, observations exhibit  
3 enhancements of similar magnitude for the two aircraft. An apparent connection between the P-3B  
4 and DC-8 observations emerges when these profiles are compared using coordinates of equivalent  
5 potential temperature (Figure 3). The overlap suggests that the two aircraft sampled a single outflow  
6 feature of considerable geographic extent. The physical separation between the profiles was roughly  
7 420 km, although the P-3B profile occurred 2 hours later and upwind of the DC-8 observation.  
8 Taking this temporal separation into account along with a P-3B measured wind speed of 30 m/s and  
9 wind direction of 250E, the physical separation between these two profiles is estimated to be about  
10 650 km. One should not infer from this example that enhancements were observed for all cloud  
11 penetrations; however, distinct CO enhancement within clouds was observed on flights 11, 15, and  
12 19 for the P-3B as well as flights 9, 13, 15, and 18 for the DC-8.

13           It is important to note that enhancements in CO were not limited to in-cloud data. Synoptic-  
14 scale, shallow convection associated with frontal passages induces regional cloudiness, but the large-  
15 scale lifting of emissions impacts clear air as well. For instance, P-3B Flight 19 logged 5 hours of  
16 flight in the free troposphere between 1 and 5.5 km. During this time, a combined 47 minutes of  
17 enhanced CO in the 300-500 ppbv range were sampled (average CO of  $360 \pm 50$  ppbv). The average  
18 CO for the remainder of the data was  $141 \pm 40$  ppbv. Of the enhanced CO observations, only 6  
19 minutes corresponded to in-cloud sampling. In-flight video, however, shows the remainder of the  
20 enhanced CO to be located both above and below clouds. Thus, data collected in cloudy regions  
21 may be associated with enhanced trace gases independent of whether the data is specifically within  
22 clouds.

### 3. Approach

The above examples provide interesting anecdotal evidence of trace gas enhancements in and around clouds, but it is also desirable to pursue a semi-quantitative assessment of the degree to which trace gas distributions vary with local cloudiness. This requires a careful filtering of the data into relevant subsets for comparison. The first step involves identifying periods of cloud penetration by each aircraft. This can be achieved through in situ sampling of cloud water or aerosol. How to filter the remaining clear air data for local cloudiness is less straightforward, but is done here by evaluating perturbations to the local radiation field by clouds. This requires radiative transfer modeling, which necessarily limits the analysis to daylight observations with a solar zenith angle less than 85°. This analysis also excludes data from transit flights and focuses on data collected along the Asian Pacific Rim over the western, North Pacific east of 160°E.

Combined data available from both aircraft encompasses 188 hrs of flight time (93 hrs for the P-3B and 95 hrs for the DC-8). Actual data coverage, however, varies for a given measurement depending on sampling frequency and integration time. For instance, CO is continuously measured and offers 175 hrs of data, whereas NMHC grab samples provide only 96 hrs of data. By combining data from the two aircraft, more robust statistics can be achieved. This is most easily justified for measurements which had a common investigator on both aircraft (e.g., CO, O<sub>3</sub>, and NMHCs). These measurements in particular showed exceptional agreement during several airborne intercomparisons that were flown during TRACE-P [Eisele *et al.*, this issue]. Combining the aircraft data in this analysis is in keeping with the goal of these intercomparisons, which was to show that data collected from the two aircraft could be treated as a single, integrated data set. Other measurements had different investigators on each aircraft, but in most cases, intercomparisons showed good agreement. Still other measurements were only on a single aircraft. These measurements have been included

1 in this analysis, but they necessarily offer less conclusive results. Specific measurement details are  
2 available in the TRACE-P overview [*Jacob et al.*, this issue].

### 3 **3.1. Identification of in-cloud data**

4 For the DC-8, potential cloud penetrations were diagnosed through FSSP (forward scattering  
5 spectrometer probe) measurements of 10-20 micron particles. When these measurements surpassed  
6 a threshold volume of  $2000 \mu\text{m}^3/\text{cm}^3$ , the data was flagged as a cloud penetration. Volumes of 800-  
7  $2000 \mu\text{m}^3/\text{cm}^3$  were flagged as “intermediate” which included fog, high-altitude cirrus, and thin  
8 patches within denser surrounding cloud. In-flight video for the DC-8 was scanned to provide a  
9 visual verification of these cloud encounters. For the purposes of this analysis, both cloud and  
10 intermediate data are considered to be “in-cloud” data. Cloud penetrations for the P-3B aircraft were  
11 identified through Gerber probe measurements of liquid water content. Observations greater than  
12  $0.001 \text{ g}/\text{m}^3$  (or  $1000 \mu\text{m}^3/\text{cm}^3$ ) were treated as in-cloud data. Visual verification using P-3B in-flight  
13 video was not extensive, but was checked in spots to establish confidence in the Gerber probe data.  
14 Combining the in-cloud data for the two aircraft, the frequency of in-cloud sampling during  
15 TRACE-P was determined to be just under 10%.

### 16 **3.2. Segregation of clear air data based on variability in $\text{jNO}_2$**

17 Further filtering of the remaining data to identify data in cloudy regions but not physically  
18 within clouds (i.e., above, below, and between clouds) was based on perturbations to the local  
19 radiation field as a proxy for local cloudiness. These perturbations were diagnosed through the use  
20 of filter radiometer measurements of the  $\text{NO}_2$  photolysis frequency,  $\text{jNO}_2$ . These measured values  
21 for  $\text{jNO}_2$  were compared with values for clear-sky conditions based on radiative transfer  
22 calculations. The Tropospheric Ultraviolet-Visible (TUV) model version 4.1 was used to calculate  
23  $\text{jNO}_2$  along the aircraft flight tracks for all periods with solar zenith angles less than  $85^\circ$ . TUV has

1 been previously described by *Madronich and Flocke* [1999]. For these calculations, TUV was  
2 implemented with an eight-stream discrete ordinates scheme radiation solver. Standard model  
3 conditions consisted of cloud-free skies, vertical profiles of air, O<sub>3</sub>, and temperature from the United  
4 States Standard Atmosphere [USSA, 1976] and a wavelength independent surface albedo of 10%  
5 for ocean and albedo of 5% for the few portions of TRACE-P the flights over land. The TOMS O<sub>3</sub>  
6 column data was bilinearly interpolated at the latitude and longitude of the aircraft flight path. This  
7 interpolated TOMS O<sub>3</sub> column was used to scale the standard TUV ozone profile (the annual mean  
8 from the *US Standard Atmosphere* [1976] for 45° N) to the measured value. The OPAC Maritime  
9 Tropical aerosol profile from *Hess et al.* [1998] has a total aerosol optical depth of 0.056 at 550 nm  
10 and a 2 km boundary layer. This Maritime Tropical boundary layer has a single scattering albedo  
11 of 0.998 and an asymmetry parameter (*g*) of 0.774 (both at 550 nm) and represents a relatively clean  
12 background aerosol condition. For additional details concerning these calculations, see *Lefer et al.*  
13 [this issue].

14 By taking the ratio of measured-to-calculated jNO<sub>2</sub>, the perturbation with respect to clear-sky  
15 conditions can be estimated. This ratio will be referred to as the “cloud correction factor” or CCF  
16 and has been used in past GTE campaigns to correct other unmeasured j-values for local cloud  
17 conditions [*Davis et al.*, 1993; *Crawford et al.*, 1996; *Crawford et al.*, 1997; *Jacob et al.*, 1996;  
18 *Schultz et al.*, 1999; and *Olson et al.*, 2001].

19 Figure 4a shows the cumulative distribution of the CCF for both in-cloud and clear air data  
20 during TRACE-P data with solar zenith angles less than 85°. For the In-Cloud data, the cumulative  
21 distribution of the CCF exhibits a nearly uniform slope. This reflects the gradual transition from  
22 radiation enhancements in cloud tops to strong attenuation at the bottom of clouds. For the clear air  
23 data, the cumulative distribution of the CCF exhibits a central region of modest, relatively constant



1 slope bounded by two regions of rapidly changing slope. Figure 4b shows this slope, along with the  
2 clear air cumulative distribution.

3 Changes in the slope of the cumulative distribution provide a rationale for segregating the  
4 data into three groups. These data groups are given the designations Low-CCF, Mid-CCF, and  
5 High-CCF which are also annotated on Figure 4b. The regions of rapidly changing slope represent  
6 radiative conditions typically found below clouds (Low-CCF) and above clouds (High-CCF). These  
7 Low-CCF and High-CCF data comprise the lower and upper 20% of the cumulative distribution and  
8 represent significant departures from clear-sky conditions. When combined, the cloudy data (i.e.,  
9 in-cloud, Low-CCF, and High-CCF) comprise 46% of the total TRACE-P data set. The central or  
10 Mid-CCF data represent radiative conditions approximating clear-sky. The Mid-CCF data are  
11 characterized by a relatively small slope and CCF values near unity (i.e., 0.78 to 1.09). The fact  
12 that the Mid-CCF data are not centered on unity is an indication that there is some bias (~10%)  
13 between measured and modeled clear-sky  $j\text{NO}_2$ . This bias, however, is not material to the  
14 segregation of data since it is based on the variability in  $j\text{NO}_2$ , not the absolute magnitude.

15 The main strength of the CCF is that it provides an objective measure for segregating the  
16 data. At the same time, it must be noted that the CCF has several shortcomings. It does not provide  
17 any insight on cloud type, cloud amount, cloud proximity, or cloud history; rather it provides a  
18 measure of the degree to which the local radiation field is perturbed by clouds. Since this analysis  
19 seeks to examine potential impacts on remote sensing and photochemistry, a diagnostic based on the  
20 local radiation field seems appropriate.

21 Also recognize that it is possible that competing cloud effects within some cloudy regions  
22 might result in a CCF near unity. As a result, it is reasonable to expect that some cloudy data falls  
23 in the Mid-CCF range of data. Conversely however, it is not reasonable to expect cloud-free

conditions to fall into the Low-CCF or High-CCF categories. This uncertainty in the segregation of data leads to a more conservative estimate for the differences in trace gases between cloud free and cloudy regions.

### 3.3. Geographic Distribution of Data

Having identified these data groups, it is important to ensure that there are no significant geographic biases in the cloudy versus the clear data. The spatial distribution of each data group is shown in Figure 5a-d. The geographic center mass for each group is shown in Figure 5e. While there are differences in the distribution of each data group, no large differences in proximity to Pacific Rim sources is evident. The largest separation exists between the Low-CCF and High-CCF data. A possible explanation for this separation relates to the generally increasing height of frontal clouds with proximity to the low pressure center of mid-latitude cyclones. During TRACE-P, these low pressure centers were typically located well to the north of the flight tracks [Fuelberg *et al.*, this issue]. As a result, one might expect the probability of flight above clouds (i.e., High-CCF data) to be greater to the south, while flight beneath clouds (i.e., Low-CCF data) would likely increase in frequency toward the low pressure center to the north. When combining the three cloudy data groups into a single group, the geographic center mass for the cloudy and clear data differ by only one degree in latitude with no significant longitude bias.

In order to make a meaningful comparison, these data groups must finally be binned over discrete altitude ranges. This removes any potential for biases in the comparison due to the natural vertical gradient exhibited by most atmospheric trace gases. Table 1 shows the contribution of each data group for 1 km altitude increments. As expected, Low-CCF data which tend to be below clouds contribute most heavily in the lower altitudes, and High-CCF data which are most commonly associated with data above clouds do not contribute significantly to the boundary layer data. For

1 statistical robustness, data groups were required to contribute at least 5% to the flight time for a  
2 given altitude with more than two flights contributing data.

## 3 **4. Results**

### 4 **4.1. Distributions for Selected Species**

5 Figure 6 shows the distributions of CO and O<sub>3</sub> sampled along the Asian Pacific Rim during TRACE-  
6 P for the four data groups. The distribution of CO shows several interesting features. The most  
7 obvious of these features relates to the enhancement of CO for In-Cloud data in the upper  
8 troposphere above 5 km. In the lower free troposphere between 1 and 5 km, distinct enhancements  
9 in CO exist not only for the In-Cloud data, but also for low-CCF and High-CCF data relative to Mid-  
10 CCF data. It is at these lower tropospheric altitudes that the impact of springtime outflow from the  
11 Asian Pacific Rim to the North Pacific is most pronounced [*Crawford et al.*, 1997; *Talbot et al.*,  
12 1997; *Blake et al.*, 1997]. In most cases, the median CO for the Mid-CCF data is less than the 25<sup>th</sup>  
13 percentile value for the other data groups. Also note that In-Cloud data does not stand out from  
14 Low-CCF and High-CCF data in the lower troposphere, reinforcing the idea that frontal lifting of  
15 emissions need not be contained within clouds, but will likely be in a region of general cloudiness.  
16 In the lowest kilometer, there are no clear differences between groups. This may reflect that outflow  
17 at this altitude does not require frontal lifting. On balance, these data strongly suggest that cloudy  
18 regions of the western, North Pacific tend to have higher CO mixing ratios.

19 The distribution of O<sub>3</sub> in Figure 6b offers an important contrast to that of CO. In this case,  
20 the data demonstrate no compelling tendency between groups. This is surprising to the extent that  
21 photochemically produced ozone is expected to be associated with polluted outflow; however, it  
22 must be recognized that O<sub>3</sub> is also influenced by stratosphere-troposphere exchange mechanisms.  
23 These competing influences may erase any statistical difference in the O<sub>3</sub> distribution related to local

cloudiness.

Extending the analysis to nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHCs), Figure 7a-d shows distributions for ethane, propane, n-butane, and i-pentane. These NMHCs have similar sources, but span a wide range of atmospheric lifetimes. As was observed in the CO distribution, each NMHC exhibits a distinct enhancement for In-Cloud data in the upper free troposphere above 5 km. In the 1-5 km range, however, there are important differences. Ethane is enhanced for the In-Cloud and Low-CCF data, but the High-CCF are roughly equal to the Mid-CCF observations. For propane, n-butane, and i-pentane, enhancements for Low-CCF data are even more pronounced and High-CCF data are depressed relative to Mid-CCF data. It is tempting to invoke photochemistry as an explanation for the difference in High-CCF and Low-CCF behavior, especially for the shorter-lived NMHCs, but the lack of enhancement in ethane for the High-CCF data is surprising. Ethane's lifetime (about 2 months in the lower troposphere) is comparable to that of CO; thus, the High-CCF enhancements in CO versus the lack of enhancement in ethane would seem to indicate differences in emission sources for the Low-CCF and High-CCF data.

While the details of Asian emission sources are highly complex, the most basic distinction that can be drawn is to differentiate between sources as being from anthropogenic activity or biomass burning. Figure 8 shows distributions for  $C_2Cl_4$ , a tracer of anthropogenic sources, and  $CH_3Cl$ , a tracer of biomass burning [reference].  $C_2Cl_4$  data in the 1-5 km range tends to be enhanced for Low-CCF data and depressed for High-CCF data relative to the Mid-CCF data.  $CH_3Cl$  data are enhanced in both the Low-CCF and High-CCF data of 1-5 km, but enhancements are much more pronounced for the High-CCF data, especially between 2 and 5 km. These differences between the Low-CCF and High-CCF data indicate a prevalence of anthropogenic emissions in the Low-CCF data and biomass burning emissions in the High-CCF data.

1           The consistency between this observation and the differences in NMHCs can be evaluated  
2 through the emissions inventories produced for TRACE-P [Streets et al., this issue]. Based on the  
3 these emission inventories, the emission ratio of  $C_2H_6/CO$  (ppbv/pptv) was  $\sim 10$  for both biomass  
4 burning and anthropogenic sources. This would seem to be at odds with the enhancements of CO  
5 in both the Low-CCF and High-CCF data, while ethane was enhanced only for Low-CCF data.  
6 More consistent with the observed differences between High-CCF and Low-CCF data, the emission  
7 ratio for propane,  $C_3H_8/CO$ , was two times lower for biomass burning than for anthropogenic  
8 sources. For butane and pentane, emission ratios for biomass burning were more than an order of  
9 magnitude lower than for anthropogenic emissions.

10           In trying to reconcile the behavior of ethane, it is important to look into the available  
11 information regarding the relative emission of  $C_2H_6$  and CO from biomass burning. The TRACE-P  
12 emissions inventory indicates that the biomass burning emissions were dominated by tropical forest  
13 burning. *Streets et al.* [this issue] also indicate that biomass burning emission factors were taken  
14 from *Andreae and Merlet* [2001]. Consulting Table 1 contained in *Andreae and Merlet* [2001], the  
15 emission of  $C_2H_6$  from tropical forest is assigned a rather large uncertainty with potential emissions  
16 ranging from 0.5-1.9 g/kg matter burned. Compared to  $104 \pm 20$  g/kg for CO, the true emissions  
17 ratio could lie anywhere between 5 and 20. Based on these observations, a value more toward the  
18 low end of this range would be consistent with the ethane trends. While this analysis is only  
19 suggestive of a problem with ethane emissions data, this question is receiving more detailed analysis  
20 in the work of *Xiao et al.* [this issue].

## 4.2. Photochemical Impacts.

The differences in emissions sources for NMHCs eliminate their potential usefulness as an indicator of differences in photochemistry induced by clouds. A more direct approach would be to examine observed OH concentrations, however, the large diurnal variation in OH demands that the data be examined over a range of solar zenith angles. In Figure 9, the distribution of 1-5 km OH has been binned over the solar zenith angle range observed during TRACE-P. The enhanced radiation environment of the High-CCF data stand out across all solar zenith angles with significantly higher OH concentrations. Conversely, the Low-CCF data have the lowest OH concentrations for all bins except the 25-35E range. Recognizing that other variables play an important role in determining OH (i.e., water vapor, ozone, NO), this coarse view supports the notion that the largest impact on OH occurs above clouds in the enhancement of OH. Much of the expected depression of OH below clouds appears to be offset by other factors. Cloud impacts on photochemistry during TRACE-P are examined in much more detail by *Lefer et al.* [this issue] and *Tang et al.* [this issue].

## 4.3. Statistics for the Lower Free Troposphere (1-5 km)

Statistics for a wide variety of chemical species are summarized in Table 2 for the lower free troposphere. As mentioned earlier, most of the Asian outflow takes place at these altitudes. These altitudes also experience comparable amounts of Low-CCF, High-CCF, and In-Cloud data.

In comparing median values for each data group, several patterns emerge. Along with CO, there are numerous tracers for which the In-Cloud data have the highest value and the Mid-CCF data have the lowest value. These species include the long-lived anthropogenic tracers CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, OCS, and halocarbons. This group also includes some shorter-lived combustion products, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. These anthropogenic tracers are also greater for the Low-CCF relative to the High-CCF data. As a tracer of convection, CH<sub>3</sub>I is also maximized in the In-Cloud data. Low-CCF and High-CCF data

are also significantly enhanced relative to the Mid-CCF data, reinforcing the view that these data groups are associated with shallow, frontally induced lifting.

Another group of tracers are maximized for the Low-CCF data and minimized for High-CCF data, these include the alkanes as well as  $C_2Cl_4$  which are preferentially derived from anthropogenic sources. On the other hand, biomass burning tracers methyl chloride and acetonitrile represent a set of species which are maximized for the High-CCF data.

Reactive nitrogen species show maximum values for Low-CCF data and minimum values for Mid-CCF data. Reactive nitrogen also shows interesting differences in partitioning. For the Low-CCF data,  $HNO_3$  and PAN make similar contributions to  $NO_y$ . The High-CCF data show a lower relative PAN component, possibly due to the lower ethane concentrations. In-Cloud data show a lower relative  $HNO_3$  contribution, most likely a sign of cloud scavenging. Other signs of cloud scavenging can be seen in the numbers for  $SO_2$  which are enhanced for Low-CCF data by more than a factor of two overall other groups. Although the differences in  $O_3$  are quite small, it is interesting to speculate that the uptake of  $O_3$  in cloud water might be responsible for the In-Cloud data having the lowest median value.

Given the observed differences in OH (Figure 9) and the short-lifetime of  $NO_x$ , it is somewhat surprising that there is no compelling evidence for enhanced  $NO_x$  loss in the High-CCF data.

#### **4.4. Statistics for the Upper Free Troposphere (5-11 km)**

Statistics for a wide variety of chemical species are summarized in Table 3 for the upper free troposphere. Here the pattern that emerges is rather consistent across species in that almost all of the tracers are maximized for the In-Cloud data. Even for the exceptions where High-CCF data are largest, values are not significantly greater than In-Cloud values. These results suggest that

1 convection into the upper free troposphere is more closely related to the presence of clouds since  
2 there is a much stronger tendency for enhanced emissions to exist within cloud. This is consistent  
3 with the picture that frontal passages influence the lower troposphere through large-scale, shallow  
4 lifting, while the upper tropospheric influence is dominated by localized deep convection triggered  
5 by the front. Nevertheless, High-CCF data still exceed Mid-CCF values, although by much smaller  
6 amounts.

7 Although total  $\text{NO}_y$  measurements are not available at these altitudes, data for  $\text{NO}_x$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  
8 and PAN show interesting differences in  $\text{NO}_y$  partitioning. The increased fraction of PAN for the  
9 In-Cloud data is almost a factor of two greater than for the High-CCF or Mid-CCF data. At the same  
10 time, each category has comparable levels of  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$ . While the increase in PAN may  
11 reflect direct vertical transport, it could also be the result of transporting PAN precursors to colder  
12 altitudes where PAN is more thermally stable. This change in the thermal equilibrium would  
13 sequester more  $\text{NO}_x$  in the form of PAN possibly contributing to the lack of  $\text{NO}_x$  enhancement for  
14 In-Cloud data. Meanwhile, the lack of an enhancement in  $\text{HNO}_3$  for In-Cloud data might reflect  
15 cloud scavenging during vertical transport.

## 16 **5. Implications for Remote Sensing.**

17 The value of obtaining information on tropospheric chemistry from satellites is unquestioned.  
18 Satellite observations of only a few key species stand to provide important contextual information  
19 critical to the interpretation of more detailed in situ observations at the surface and from aircraft.  
20 Satellite observations can also provide critical information to global and regional models for model  
21 testing and/or assimilation to improve our understanding of tropospheric chemistry. At the same  
22 time, satellite observations of tropospheric chemistry face numerous difficulties in that they are  
23 restricted by clouds and are also challenged by the presence of aerosols, water vapor, and



1 stratospheric ozone [*Singh and Jacob, 2000*]. Having demonstrated the differences in trace gas  
2 concentrations between cloudy and clear conditions during TRACE-P, clouds would seem to present  
3 not only a loss of information, but should also impose a bias on observations from satellite. Given  
4 the TRACE-P data, it is of interest to estimate what the magnitude of this bias might be.

5 To assess the overall bias between cloudy and clear regions, the Low-CCF, High-CCF, and  
6 In-Cloud data can be combined into a single “cloudy” data group. When considering data in the 1-5  
7 km range the median CO mixing ratio for this cloudy data is 178 pptv versus 135 pptv for the Mid-  
8 CCF data, an enhancement of 32%. For the 5-11 km range, cloudy data have a median CO of 116  
9 ppbv. This value exceeds the Mid-CCF value of 101 ppbv by 15%. Recall, that while upper  
10 tropospheric enhancements in CO are quite a bit larger, they are mainly limited to In-Cloud data  
11 which represents a relatively small portion of the observations at these altitudes. Nevertheless, these  
12 enhancements represent nontrivial impacts on the CO column abundance. As a result, it is not  
13 unreasonable to expect that spatially and temporally averaged, space-based observations over the  
14 western, North Pacific would underestimate total CO.

15 When considering that CO has a considerable background mixing ratio, the enhancement of  
16 total CO does not adequately reflect the extent to which Asian CO emissions could be obscured by  
17 the presence of clouds. An estimate for the CO background of 80 ppbv is based on the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile  
18 value of CO for the TRACE-P data set. Given this value, and assuming equal weighting for cloudy  
19 versus clear data, roughly two-thirds of Asian CO emissions are transported to the Pacific under  
20 cloudy conditions.

21 In assessing cloudy versus clear data, the question arises concerning the actual amount of  
22 cloud cover over the TRACE-P region. Based on the filtering of aircraft data, 46% of the TRACE-P  
23 data was identified as cloudy data. At various altitudes, the percentage of cloudy data fell mostly

1 in the 30-50% range (see Table 1). Figure 10 shows cloud cover statistics for the Asian Pacific Rim  
2 during March, 2001 from ISCCP (International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project). This coincides  
3 nicely with the TRACE-P period as the two aircraft reached the Pacific Rim on 4 March (Hong  
4 Kong) and departed on 3 April (Yokota AFB, Japan). The ISSCP data indicate that the level of  
5 cloudiness over the TRACE-P region may be even greater than that inferred from the airborne  
6 measurements. Based on the TRACE-P flight tracks, total cloud cover in the range of 70-80%  
7 should have been expected. This cloud cover was primarily low cloud (30-40%) with lesser  
8 amounts of middle and high cloud (20-30% and 10-20%, respectively).

## 9 **6. Conclusions.**

10 During the TRACE-P mission, outflow of Asian emissions from the Pacific Rim to the  
11 western, North Pacific was sampled by NASA's DC-8 and P-3B aircraft. Outflow was found to be  
12 mediated primarily by the passage of frontal disturbances. On several occasions, enhanced CO  
13 mixing ratios were observed in and around frontal clouds.

14 A more detailed analysis of trace gas distributions was conducted by separating the data into  
15 four groups: In-Cloud, Low-CCF, Mid-CCF and High-CCF. The In-Cloud data represented periods  
16 of cloud penetration by the aircraft, while the other three groups were based on perturbations to the  
17 local radiation field diagnosed using measurements and clear-sky calculations of  $j\text{NO}_2$ . The Low-  
18 CCF and High-CCF groups represented data from cloudy regions where  $j\text{NO}_2$  was significantly  
19 lower (Low-CCF) or higher (High-CCF) than the expected clear-sky value. The Mid-CCF data  
20 represented mostly clear conditions where  $j\text{NO}_2$  was at or near the expected clear-sky value.

21 Distributions for CO revealed significant enhancement for all cloud associated groups  
22 compared to the Mid-CCF data. Above 5 km, In-Cloud data especially stood out from the other  
23 groups, while Low-CCF and High-CCF values showed greatest enhancements in the 1-5 km range.

1 Observations in the lowest kilometer revealed no clear differences between groups; however,  
2 outflow at the surface does not depend on frontal lifting. Interestingly, distributions for O<sub>3</sub> revealed  
3 no clear differences between the four data groups suggesting that other influences (e.g., stratosphere-  
4 troposphere exchange) might disrupt any relationship between local cloudiness and O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios.  
5 Similar to CO, most tracers of anthropogenic origin showed enhancement in the cloud associated  
6 data as compared to the Mid-CCF group. Limited evidence for cloud scavenging was seen in data  
7 for HNO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>.

8 Measurements of OH were consistent with the expectation that photochemical oxidation  
9 should be enhanced for High-CCF data and depressed for Low-CCF conditions. Despite these  
10 differences in OH, no clear evidence of enhanced or depressed photochemistry could be extracted  
11 from data for short-lived species. This is most likely due to insufficient exposure time to the cloud  
12 perturbed photochemical environment which is most likely only about one or maybe two days at the  
13 most. Nevertheless, the lack of a trend in NO<sub>x</sub> data was surprising given its short lifetime.

14 Overall estimates of CO enhancement for cloudy versus clear data were 32% for 1-5 km (178  
15 vs. 135 ppbv) and 15% for 5-11 km (116 vs 101 ppbv). When considering background CO levels  
16 of around 80 ppbv, it appears that roughly two-thirds of Asian CO emissions are transported from  
17 the Pacific Rim to the western, North Pacific under cloudy conditions.

18 These results indicate that cloudy regions may represent much more than missing data to  
19 spaced-based observations of tropospheric chemical constituents. For instance, TRACE-P data  
20 would suggest that a satellite-derived, average CO column abundance for the western, North Pacific  
21 during spring would almost certainly underestimate the true CO abundance if based only on clear-  
22 sky observations. It is reasonable to expect that other marine regions downstream of continental  
23 sources might also exhibit a relationship between cloudiness and trace gas abundance, e.g., North

1 American outflow of emissions to the North Atlantic and outflow of biomass burning emissions  
2 from Africa and South America to the South Atlantic. On the other hand, remote regions such as  
3 the Tropical and South Pacific would most likely not exhibit any clear relationship between clouds  
4 and trace gas distributions. To better understand the conditions under which trace gas distributions  
5 might be related to local cloudiness, this type of analysis needs to be applied to other airborne data  
6 sets.

## References

- Andreae, M. O. and P. Merlet, Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *15*, 955-966, 2001.
- Bey, I., D. J. Jacob, J. A. Logan, and R. M. Yantosca, Asian chemical outflow to the Pacific: Origins, pathways, and budgets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*, 23,097-23,114, 2001.
- Crawford, J., et al., Photostationary state analysis of the NO<sub>2</sub>-NO system based on airborne observations from the western and central North Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*, 2053-2072, 1996.
- Crawford, J., et al., An assessment of ozone photochemistry in the extratropical western North Pacific: Impact of continental outflow during late winter/early spring, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *102*, 28,469-28,487, 1997.
- Davis, D. D., et al., A photostationary state analysis of the NO<sub>2</sub>-NO system based on airborne observations from the subtropical/tropical North and South Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *98*, 23,501-23,523, 1993.
- Dickerson, R. R., et al., Thunderstorms: an important mechanism in the transport of air pollutants, *Science*, *235*, 460-465, 1987.
- Eisele et al., this issue.
- Fuelberg, H. E., C. M. Kiley, J. R. Hannan, D. J. Westberg, M. A. Avery, and R. E. Newell, Atmospheric transport during the Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) Experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.
- Hess, M., P. Koepke, and I. Schult, Optical properties of aerosols and clouds: The software package OPAC, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *79* (5), 831-844, 1998.
- Huntreiser, H., H. Schlager, C. Feigl, and H. Höller, Transport and production of NO<sub>x</sub> in electrified

thunderstorms: Survey of previous studies and new observations at midlatitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *103*, 28,247-28,264, 1998.

Jacob, D. J., et al., Origin of ozone and NO<sub>x</sub> in the tropical troposphere: A photochemical analysis of aircraft observations over the South Atlantic basin, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*, 24,235-24,250, 1996.

Jacob, D. J., J. H. Crawford, M. M. Kleb, V. E. Connors, R. J. Bendura, and J. L. Raper, The Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) Mission: Design, execution, and overview of results, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.

Kaneyasu, N., K. Takeuchi, M. Hayashi, S.-I. Fujita, I. Uno, and H. Sasaki, Outflow patterns of pollutants from east Asia to the north Pacific in the winter monsoon, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *105*, 17,361-17,377, 2000.

Lefer, B., R. Shetter, D. Hall, J. Crawford, and J. Olson, Impact of Clouds and Aerosols on Photolysis Frequencies and Photochemistry during TRACE-P, Part I: Analysis using Radiative Transfer and Photochemical Box Models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.

Liu H., D. J. Jacob, I. Bey, R. M. Yantosca, B. N. Duncan, and G. W. Sachse, Transport pathways for Asian combustion outflow over the Pacific: Interannual and seasonal variations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.

Madronich, S., and S. Flocke, The role of solar radiation in atmospheric chemistry, in *Environmental Photochemistry*, edited by P. Boule, pp. 1-26, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

McNeal R. J., J. P. Mugler, Jr., R. C. Harriss, and J. M. Hoell, Jr., NASA Global Tropospheric Experiment, *EOS Trans.*, *64*, 561-562, 1983.

Olson, J. R., et al., Seasonal differences in the photochemistry of the South Pacific: A comparison

1 of observations and model results from PEM-Tropics A and B, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*,  
 2 32,749-32,766, 2001.  
 3 Pickering, K. E., et al., Convective transport of biomass burning emissions over Brazil during  
 4 TRACE-A, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*, 23,993-24,012, 1996  
 5 Schultz, M. G., et al., On the origin of tropospheric ozone and NO<sub>x</sub> over the tropical South Pacific,  
 6 *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*, 5829-5843, 1999.  
 7 Singh, H. B., and D. J. Jacob, Future directions: Satellite observations of tropospheric chemistry,  
 8 *Atm. Env.*, *34*, 4399-4401, 2000.  
 9 Tang, Y., G. R. Carmichael, I. Uno, J.-H. Woo, G. Kurata, B. Lefer, R. E. Shetter, H. Huang, B. E.  
 10 Anderson, M. A. Avery, A. D. Clarke, and D. R. Blake, Impacts of aerosols and clouds on  
 11 photolysis frequencies and photochemistry during TRACE-P, Part II: Three-dimensional  
 12 study using a regional chemical transport model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.  
 13 Streets, D. G., T. C. Bond, G. R. Carmichael, S. D. Fernandes, Q. Fu, D. He, Z. Klimont, S. M.  
 14 Nelson, N. Y. Tsai, M. Q. Wang, J.-H. Woo, and K. F. Yarber, An inventory of gaseous and  
 15 primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.  
 16 Yienger J. J., et al., The episodic nature of air pollution transport from Asia to North America, *J.*  
 17 *Geophys. Res.*, *105*, 26,931-26,945, 2000.

Table 1. Percent contribution from each data population for 1 km altitude bins.<sup>a</sup>

Altitude	In Cloud	Low-CCF	Mid-CCF	High-CCF
0-1 km	6% (21)	58% (26)	35% (24)	1% <sup>b</sup> (2)
1-2 km	22% (22)	16% (20)	51% (26)	11% (14)
2-3 km	11% (17)	12% (13)	59% (26)	18% (19)
3-4 km	8% (15)	8% (13)	58% (26)	26% (18)
4-5 km	9% (14)	6% (9)	61% (26)	24% (22)
5-6 km	6% (11)	3% <sup>b</sup> (6)	64% (24)	27% (19)
6-7 km	6% (6)	1% <sup>b</sup> (2)	67% (17)	26% (15)
7-8 km	12% (5)	1% <sup>b</sup> (1)	56% (13)	31% (11)
8-9 km	6% (3)	0% <sup>b</sup>	65% (12)	29% (9)
9-10 km	11% (6)	0% <sup>b</sup>	64% (12)	25% (11)
10-11 km	14% (4)	0% <sup>b</sup>	59% (10)	27% (8)
11-12 km	0% <sup>b</sup>	0% <sup>b</sup>	77% (5)	23% <sup>c</sup> (1)

a - Number of flights contributing data are given in parentheses.

b - Data for these bins are not statistically significant.

c - Data for this bin is not robust since only one flight contributes data.



Table 2. Median observed values from each data group for 1-5 km altitude.<sup>a</sup>

	Mid-CCF	Low-CCF	High-CCF	In-Cloud
Altitude, km	3.0	2.5	3.1	2.4
CO, ppbv	(135)	176	163	<b>198</b>
O <sub>3</sub> , ppbv	56	<b>59</b>	57	(55)
NO <sub>x</sub> <sup>b</sup> , pptv	(39)	<b>79</b>	65	68
HNO <sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup> , pptv	(163)	<b>240</b>	226	175
PAN <sup>c</sup> , pptv	(166)	<b>281</b>	176	243
NO <sub>y</sub> <sup>d</sup> , pptv	(462)	<b>690</b>	535	587
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> , pptv	1426	<b>1822</b>	(1263)	1744
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> , pptv	290	<b>488</b>	(176)	398
n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> , pptv	57	<b>112</b>	(26)	89
i-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>12</sub> , pptv	15	<b>36</b>	(9)	28
C <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> , pptv	6.1	<b>10.3</b>	(4.6)	9.1
SO <sub>2</sub> <sup>e</sup> , pptv	80	<b>241</b>	(66)	104
CH <sub>3</sub> Cl, pptv	(556)	568	<b>600</b>	578
CH <sub>3</sub> CN, pptv	123	(116)	<b>168</b>	118
OCS, pptv	(503)	523	513	<b>535</b>
CH <sub>4</sub> , ppbv	(1797)	1817	1814	<b>1829</b>
CO <sub>2</sub> , ppmv	(373.6)	375.1	373.7	<b>375.3</b>
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> , pptv	(58)	117	81	<b>132</b>
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> , ptv	(323)	523	397	<b>595</b>
F-11, pptv	(260)	261	261	<b>262</b>
H-1211, pptv	(4.28)	4.36	4.31	<b>4.41</b>
CH <sub>3</sub> I, pptv	(0.17)	0.28	0.29	<b>0.44</b>

a - Maximum values bold and minimum values in parentheses.

b - Based on calculated NO<sub>2</sub>

c - Measured by different investigators on each aircraft

d - Measured on P-3B only

e - P-3B data only with volcanic plume from flight 17 removed

Table 3. Median observed values from each data group for 5-11 km altitude.<sup>a</sup>

	Mid-CCF	High-CCF	In-Cloud
Altitude	7.4	7.8	8.2
CO	(101)	108	<b>155</b>
O <sub>3</sub>	(57)	59	<b>61</b>
NO <sub>x</sub> <sup>b</sup>	(43)	<b>50</b>	49
HNO <sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup>	(117)	127	<b>130</b>
PAN <sup>c</sup>	(122)	141	<b>265</b>
NO <sub>y</sub> <sup>d</sup>	-----	-----	-----
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	(647)	704	<b>1100</b>
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	(65)	74	<b>141</b>
n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	(7)	10	<b>35</b>
i-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>12</sub>	LOD	LOD	<b>17</b>
C <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub>	(2.55)	2.60	<b>5.26</b>
SO <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	-----	-----	-----
CH <sub>3</sub> Cl	(563)	575	<b>589</b>
CH <sub>3</sub> CN	(152)	<b>168</b>	161
OCS	(490)	492	<b>514</b>
CH <sub>4</sub>	(1762)	1766	<b>1783</b>
CO <sub>2</sub>	(371.8)	372.0	<b>373.8</b>
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	(14)	22	<b>75</b>
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	(134)	168	<b>347</b>
F-11	(259)	259	<b>260</b>
H-1211	(4.18)	4.18	<b>4.40</b>
CH <sub>3</sub> I	(0.06)	0.08	<b>0.29</b>

a - Maximum values bold and minimum values in parentheses.

b - Based on calculated NO<sub>2</sub>

c - Measured by different investigators on each aircraft

d - P-3B data only provide insufficient data above 5 km.

**Figure Captions.**

**Figure 1.** Visible imagery from the GMS satellite for 0632 UTC 7 March, 2001. Flight tracks are overlaid for the P-3B and DC-8. The P-3B flight track is to the east of the DC-8 path. The location of vertical profiles through frontal cloud for each aircraft are highlighted in black.

**Figure 2.** Vertical profiles of a) CO and b) relative humidity through frontal clouds on 7 March, 2001.

**Figure 3.** Vertical profile of CO through frontal clouds in coordinates of equivalent potential temperature.

**Figure 4.** a) Cumulative distributions of the CCF for in-cloud and clear air data, and b) cumulative distribution of the CCF for clear air data and the slope of the distribution. Low, Mid, and High-CCF data groups are annotated.

**Figure 5.** Geographic distributions for each data group (a-d) and their geographic center mass (e).


**Figure 6.** Vertical distributions for a) CO and b) O<sub>3</sub> for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.

**Figure 7.** Vertical distributions for a) ethane, b) propane, c) n-butane, and d) i-pentane for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.


**Figure 8.** Vertical distributions for a) perchloroethene and b) methyl chloride for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.

**Figure 9.** Solar zenith angle distributions of measured OH for 1-5 km altitude for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.


**Figure 10.** ISCCP cloud cover statistics over the TRACE-P domain for March, 2001.




**Figure 1.** Visible imagery from the GMS satellite for 0632 UTC 7 March, 2001. Flight tracks are overlaid for the P-3B and DC-8. The P-3B flight track is to the east of the DC-8 path. The location of vertical profiles through frontal cloud for each aircraft are highlighted in black.




**Figure 2.** Vertical profiles of a) CO and b) relative humidity through frontal clouds on 7 March, 2001.



**Figure 3.** Vertical profile of CO through frontal clouds in coordinates of equivalent potential temperature.





**Figure 4.** a) Cumulative distributions of the CCF for in-cloud and clear air data, and b) cumulative distribution of the CCF for clear air data and the slope of the distribution. Low, Mid, and High-CCF data groups are annotated




**Figure 5.** Geographic distributions for each data group (a-d) and their geographic center mass (e).








**Figure 6.** Vertical distributions for a) CO and b) O<sub>3</sub> for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.




**Figure 7.** Vertical distributions for a) ethane, b) propane, c) n-butane, and d) i-pentane for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.



**Figure 8.** Vertical distributions for a) perchloroethene and b) methyl chloride for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.



**Figure 9.** Solar zenith angle distributions of measured OH for 1-5 km altitude for each data group. Center lines indicate median values and boxes encompass the inner quartiles.



**Figure 10.** ISCCP cloud cover statistics over the TRACE-P domain for March, 2001.